



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,763		12/21/2000	Gary G. Liu	10664-137001	4696
26181	7590	07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			JUNG, DAVID YIUK		
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
\ \	·, ···	,,		2134	
		•		DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/747,763	LIU, GARY G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Y. Jung	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, p	
·	,	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	
· _ ·	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica Inity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 36

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-16 are presented.

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendment newly added the feature of "in an initial authentication communication with said server computer." Nevertheless, in an initial authentication communication with a server computer, the communication itself may comprise multiple communications. Thus, the claims have not been broadened enough to overcome the rejections. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the initial communication being so limited to a single communication as asserted in the Remarks section of the Amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis and Jacobs (references cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claims 1-12, the references teach as noted in the previous Office Actions.

The amendment newly added the feature of "in an initial authentication" communication with said server computer." Nevertheless, in an initial authentication communication with a server computer, the communication itself may comprise multiple communications. Thus, the claims have not been broadened enough to overcome the rejections.

Regarding claims 13-16, these claims are broader than claim 1. For the reasons noted in the rejection of claim 1, these claims are not patentable.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number Art Unit: 2134	r: 09/747,763	Page 4
Washington, D.C. 20231		
or faxed to:		
(703) 746-7239, (for formal	communications intended for enti	ry)
Or:		
(703) 746-5606 (for informa	l or draft communications, please	label "PROPOSED" or
"DRAFT")		
Any inquiry concerning this	communication or earlier commun	nications from the
examiner should be directed	d to David Jung whose telephone	number is (571) 272-3836
or Greg Morse whose telepl	hone number is (571) 272-3838.	
·		
David Jung		
 Patent Examiner		

Application/Control Number: 09/747,763

Art Unit: 2134

7/22/05

Page 5